	Case 2:21-cv-02028-KJM-DMC Docume	nt 11 Filed 12/15/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	PRINESSIA PEREZ,	No. 2:21-CV-2028-KJM-DMC
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, who is proceeding pro se, brings this civil action. Pending before the	
18	Court are Defendant's unopposed motion to dismiss, ECF No. 5, and request to vacate, ECF No.	
19	9. Through these motions, Defendant argues that Plaintiff fails to state a claim upon which this	
20	court can grant relief and that the notice of removal should be "vacated" because Plaintiff	
21	voluntarily dismissed her state court action prior to removal to this Court.	
22	State court records, which this Court may judicially notice, see Kasey v.	
23	Molybdenum Corp. of America, 336 F.2d 560, 563 (9th Cir. 1964), reflect that Plaintiff	
24	voluntarily dismissed the underlying state court action on October 14, 2021 – before Defendant	
25	filed its notice of removal on November 3, 2021. See ECF No. 9, pgs. 4-5. Because there was no	
26	state action to remove at the time the notice of removal was filed, the state court action having	
27	been voluntarily dismissed, the Court agrees with Defendant that the notice of removal filed on	
28	the Court's docket no November 3, 2021, is a nullity.	
		1

The Court also agrees with Defendant that Plaintiff fails to state a claim. Here,		
Plaintiff alleges that Defendant United States Postal Service (USPS) is liable because she never		
received a federal stimulus check. See ECF No. 1. This claim is foreclosed by statute providing		
that USPS is not liable for any claims arising out of the "loss, miscarriage, or negligent		
transmission of letters or postal matter." 28 U.S.C. § 2680(b).		
Based on the foregoing, the undersigned recommends that Defendant's unopposed		
motion, ECF No. 5, and request, ECF No. 9, be granted and that this action be dismissed.		
These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District		

Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within 14 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the Court. Responses to objections shall be filed within 14 days after service of objections. Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal. See Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

DENNIS M. COTA

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: December 13, 2021